

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/699,923	10/30/2000	David H. Lynch	2836-E	8828	
22932	7590 04/25/2005		EXAMINER		
IMMUNEX CORPORATION			GAMBEL,	GAMBEL, PHILLIP	
LAW DEPAR 1201 AMGEN	RTMENT N COURT WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA 98119			1644	• ,	
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/25/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/699,923		LYNCH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
Phillip Gambel		1644	

-							
	Phillip Gambel	1644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 April 2005</u>. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS 	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	<u>-</u> _	•				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: In contrast to applicant's amendment, EX	ample 1 of the instant specfication of	does not appear to pr	ovide sufficient				
written description under 35 USC 112, first paragr. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	aph (new matter) for the recitation (of "stem cells, progen	itor cells or both"				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	will not be entered, or b) wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	Par	LIP GAMBEL					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>15,16 and 23-25</u> . Claim(s) objected to:		•	a.				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>29, 36, 38</u> .		AMBEL, PH.D	2/05				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		EXAMINER 4/1	000				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	1421	e viacuus					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
			RD				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons of record. Since the After Final Amendment has not been entered. The rejection under 35 USDC 103 is maintained as well, given the non-entry of the accompanying evidence of common ownership of the present application with U.S. Patent No. 5,843,423...